

What are European Elections for?

Statistical appendix: summary of scenario assumptions and outcomes

Assumptions underpinning the five scenarios in main report

Scenario 1

Turnout: stable compared to 2004.

Main parties: Labour lose 30% of votes gained in 2004, Conservatives and Liberal Democrats lose 10%.

Small parties: UKIP lose 30% of votes gained in 2004. Greens gain 40% of votes lost by three main parties. BNP gain 25% of votes lost by three main parties. Other small parties gain a share of the remaining 35% of votes lost by the main parties.

Scenario 2

Turnout: stable compared to 2004.

Main parties: Labour lose 40% of votes gained in 2004, Conservatives and Liberal Democrat support is stable.

Small parties: UKIP lose 10% of votes gained in 2004. Greens gain 35% of votes lost by Labour. BNP gain 20% of votes lost by Labour and 25% of votes lost by UKIP. Other small parties gain a share of the remaining 45% of votes lost by the main parties.

Scenario 3

Turnout: stable compared to 2004.

Main parties: Labour lose 15% of votes gained in 2004. Conservatives and Liberal Democrats each gain 40% of the votes lost by Labour.

Small parties: UKIP lose 50% of votes gained in 2004. Greens gain 10% of votes lost by Labour. BNP gain 10% of votes lost by Labour and 25% of votes lost by UKIP. Other small Euro-sceptic parties gain a share of the remaining votes lost by UKIP.

Scenario 4

Turnout: stable compared to 2004.

Main parties: Labour lose 45% of votes gained in 2004. Conservatives gain 70%, and Liberal Democrats gain 30%, of the votes lost by Labour.

Small parties: UKIP lose 50% of votes gained in 2004. Support for the Greens is stable. BNP gain 25% of votes lost by UKIP. Other small Euro-sceptic parties gain a share of the remaining votes lost by UKIP.

Scenario 5

Turnout: down 10% on 2004.

Main parties: Turnout reduction results from equal numbers of Labour and Conservative voters in 2004 abstaining in 2009.

Small parties: Support for all other parties broadly stable.

Scenario 6 (not discussed in main report)

Turnout: stable compared to 2004.

Main parties: Labour lose 26%, and Liberal Democrats lose 30%, of votes gained in 2004; Conservatives voters up by 3%.

Small parties: UKIP lose 19% of votes gained in 2004. The Greens attract the support of 67% more voters. Support for the BNP is stable. Other small parties receive negligible share of the votes.

N.B. This scenario is based on the voting intentions for the European elections in the Populus opinion poll reported in *The Times* on Saturday 30 May 2009. These have been remodelled on a regional basis using the 2004 results for the nine English regions.

Summary of projected outcomes: voting share

Scenario 1

	BNP	UKIP	LAB	CONS	LD	GRN	TOTALS
S. East	6.9	13.8	8.9	31.9	13.9	12.5	87.9
Eastern	8.4	13.7	10.5	27.7	12.6	9.6	82.5
London	8.1	8.6	16.1	24.1	13.8	13.7	84.4
E. Mids	11.3	18.2	13.6	23.8	11.6	10	88.5
N. West	10.7	9.9	17.7	21.7	16.5	11.1	87.6
W. Mids	11.9	12.2	15.2	24.6	13.3	10.1	87.3
N. East	11.2	8.5	22.2	16.7	16.0	11	85.6
S. West	7.2	15.8	9.4	28.4	16.6	11.9	89.3
Y&H	12.5	10.3	17.2	22.4	14.1	11.1	87.6
AVE	9.8	12.3	14.5	24.6	14.3	11.2	86.7

Scenario 2

	BNP	UKIP	LAB	CONS	LD	GRN	TOTALS
S. East	4.6	17.7	8.3	35.4	15.4	10.5	91.9
Eastern	6.1	17.6	9.7	30.8	14	7.8	86.0
London	6.3	11.1	14.8	26.8	15.3	12	86.3
E. Mids	9	23.4	12.6	26.4	12.9	8.4	92.7
N. West	8.9	10.9	16.4	24.1	15.8	10.7	86.8
W. Mids	9.8	15.7	14.1	27.3	14.7	8.4	90.0
N. East	9.5	10.9	20.5	18.6	17.8	9.5	86.8
S. West	4.7	20.3	8.7	31.6	18.3	9.9	93.5
Y&H	10.6	13.2	16	24.8	15.7	9.5	89.8
AVE	7.7	15.6	13.5	27.3	15.5	9.6	89.3

Scenario 3

	BNP	UKIP	LAB	CONS	LD	GRN	TOTALS
S. East	5.6	9.8	11.7	36.1	16.2	9	88.4
Eastern	7	9.8	13.8	31.7	14.8	6.1	83.2
London	5.6	6.2	21	28	16.6	9.3	86.7
E. Mids	10.1	13.0	17.8	27.5	14.0	6.1	88.5
N. West	8.3	6.1	23.2	25.5	19.1	8.4	90.6
W. Mids	10	8.7	19.9	29	15.9	5.9	89.4
N. East	8.5	6	29	20.6	19.8	5.2	89.1
S. West	6.1	11.3	12.3	32.3	19.1	8.3	89.4
Y&H	10.3	7.3	22.5	26.2	17.1	6.6	90.0
AVE	7.9	8.7	19.0	28.5	17.0	7.2	88.4

Scenario 4

	BNP	UKIP	LAB	CONS	LD	GRN	TOTALS
S. East	5.4	9.8	7.6	47	16.7	7.9	94.4
Eastern	6.8	9.8	8.9	42.5	15.5	5.6	89.1
London	5.6	6.2	13.6	39.4	17.5	8.4	90.7
E. Mids	10	13	11.5	41.8	14.8	5.4	96.5
N. West	7.9	6.1	15	40	19.2	6	94.2
W. Mids	9.7	8.7	12.9	41	16.8	5.1	94.2
N. East	8	6.1	18.7	34.4	20.9	4.8	92.9
S. West	5.8	11.3	8	4.4	19.6	7.2	56.3
Y&H	9.9	7.3	14.6	39	18	5.8	94.6
AVE	7.7	8.7	12.3	36.6	17.7	6.2	89.2

Scenario 5

	BNP	UKIP	LAB	CONS	LD	GRN	TOTALS
S. East	3.3	21.6	9.6	34.2	18.1	8.7	95.5
Eastern	4.8	21.5	12.3	28.4	16.5	6.1	89.6
London	4.4	13.6	21.7	24	17.9	9.3	90.9
E. Mids	7.3	28.9	17.7	23.8	14.4	6.1	98.2
N. West	7.1	13.5	27.4	21.2	17.6	7.6	94.4
W. Mids	8.2	19.2	20.2	24.6	17.2	5.7	95.1
N. East	7.1	13.4	32	14.9	20.6	5.2	93.2
S. West	3.3	24.8	10.4	30	21.3	7.9	97.7
Y&H	8.9	16.1	23.6	21.8	18.3	6.4	95.1
AVE	6.0	19.2	19.4	24.8	18.0	7.0	94.4

Scenario 6

	BNP	UKIP	LAB	CONS	LD	GRN	TOTALS
S. East	2.9	22.6	9.8	35.3	11.9	12.8	95.3
Eastern	4.3	23	11.8	31.3	11	9.2	90.6
London	4.1	14.8	18.4	27.9	12.3	14.2	91.7
E. Mids	6.4	30.6	15.3	26.8	10.2	9	98.3
N. West	6.8	15.5	21.6	26.5	13.6	9.9	93.9
W. Mids	7.7	21.2	17.7	28.9	11.2	8.8	95.5
N. East	6.9	15.4	26.9	20.4	15.2	8.5	93.3
S. West	2.9	26.2	10.5	32.4	14.3	11.7	98
Y&H	8.3	17.9	20.1	26.3	11	9.9	93.5
AVE	5.6	20.8	16.9	28.4	12.3	10.4	94.5

Summary of projected outcomes: seats won

Scenario 1

	BNP	UKIP	LAB	CONS	LD	GRN	TOTALS
S. East	1	1	1	4	2	1	10
Eastern	0	1	1	3	1	1	7
London	1	1	2	2	1	1	8
E. Mids	0	1	1	2	1	0	5
N. West	1	1	2	2	1	1	8
W. Mids	1	1	1	2	1	0	6
N. East	0	0	1	1	1	0	3
S. West	0	1	0	3	1	1	6
Y&H	1	0	1	2	1	1	6
TOTALS	5	7	10	21	10	6	59

Scenario 2

	BNP	UKIP	LAB	CONS	LD	GRN	TOTALS
S. East	0	2	1	4	2	1	10
Eastern	0	2	1	3	1	0	7
London	0	1	1	3	2	1	8
E. Mids	0	1	1	2	1	0	5
N. West	1	1	2	2	1	1	8
W. Mids	1	1	1	2	1	0	6
N. East	0	0	1	1	1	0	3
S. West	0	2	0	3	1	0	6
Y&H	1	1	1	2	1	0	6
TOTALS	3	11	9	22	11	3	59

Scenario 3

	BNP	UKIP	LAB	CONS	LD	GRN	TOTALS
S. East	0	1	1	5	2	1	10
Eastern	0	1	1	4	1	0	7
London	0	0	2	3	2	1	8
E. Mids	0	1	1	2	1	0	5
N. West	0	0	2	3	2	1	8
W. Mids	1	0	2	2	1	0	6
N. East	0	0	1	1	1	0	3
S. West	0	1	1	3	1	0	6
Y&H	1	0	2	2	1	0	6
TOTALS	2	4	13	25	12	3	59

Scenario 4

	BNP	UKIP	LAB	CONS	LD	GRN	TOTALS
S. East	0	1	0	6	2	1	10
Eastern	0	1	1	4	1	0	7
London	0	0	1	4	2	1	8
E. Mids	0	1	0	3	1	0	5
N. West	0	0	1	5	2	0	8
W. Mids	0	0	1	4	1	0	6
N. East	0	0	1	1	1	0	3
S. West	0	1	0	4	1	0	6
Y&H	1	0	1	3	1	0	6
TOTALS	1	4	6	34	12	2	59

Scenario 5

	BNP	UKIP	LAB	CONS	LD	GRN	TOTALS
S. East	0	2	1	4	2	1	10
Eastern	0	2	1	3	1	0	7
London	0	1	2	2	2	1	8
E. Mids	0	2	1	1	1	0	5
N. West	0	1	3	2	2	0	8
W. Mids	0	1	2	2	1	0	6
N. East	0	0	2	0	1	0	3
S. West	0	2	0	2	2	0	6
Y&H	0	1	2	2	1	0	6
TOTALS	0	12	14	18	13	2	59

Scenario 6

	BNP	UKIP	LAB	CONS	LD	GRN	TOTALS
S. East	0	3	1	4	1	1	10
Eastern	0	2	1	3	1	0	7
London	0	1	2	3	1	1	8
E. Mids	0	2	1	2	0	0	5
N. West	0	1	2	3	1	1	8
W. Mids	0	2	1	2	1	0	6
N. East	0	1	1	1	0	0	3
S. West	0	2	1	2	1	0	6
Y&H	0	1	2	2	1	0	6
TOTALS	0	15	12	22	7	3	59